UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No. CR	3-14-mj-71520MAG	
Plaintiff, v.)))	STIPULATED UNDER THE	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME SPEEDY TRIAL ACT LED	
)		DEC 162014	
Defendant.)		RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the respectively. Speedy Trial Act from \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(4 to \sqrt{z} of the publi	c and the defenda	and finds that the ends of justice served nt in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §	
Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B		kely to result in a	miscarriage of justice.	
defendants, the nature or law, that it is unreasonable	of the prosect to expect ad	ution, or the	ble reasons] the number of ne existence of novel questions of fact of for pretrial proceedings or the trial 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).	
Failure to grant a continuance taking into account the exercise	•		sonable time to obtain counsel, S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
	e commitme	•	defendant continuity of counsel, given count the exercise of due diligence.	
Failure to grant a continuance necessary for effective prepara See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	ation, taking (iv).	into account the e	exercise of due diligence.	
	-for € l. Crim.	Klerdira P. 5,1	Johne period undep	1
DATED: 12-116/14		LAUREE BEE United States M	LER Magistrate Judge	
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defenda	ant	Assistant Unite	ed States Apprney	
.			/ X *	